# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY 2005 PCT

### ROC'S PETATO 16 JUN 2085

#### **INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT**

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 2002CH014 International application No. PCT/IB 03/06147			ent's file reference	FOR FURTI	FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)			
				International fili 18.12.2003	ng date (day/month/	(year)	Priority date <i>(day/n</i> 20.12.2002	nonth/year)
	rnation 9B67/		ent Classification (IPC) o	r both national classi	ification and IPC			100
	licant ARIAI	NT IN	ITERNATIONAL LT	D et al.				
1.	This Auth	inter nority	national preliminary e and is transmitted to t	kamination report l he applicant accor	has been prepared ding to Article 36.	d by this Inte	rnational Prelimina	ry Examining
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total	al of 5 sheets, incl	uding this cover s	heet.		
		bee	s report is also accomp n amended and are the Rule 70.16 and Sect	e basis for this rer	ort and/or sheets	containing r	ectifications made I	rawings which have before this Authority
	The		nexes consist of a tota		,		,	
	Thic							
3.	11113	repo	rt contains indications	relating to the follo	owing items:			
3.	I		rt contains indications	relating to the folk	owing items:			
3.	   	⊠	Basis of the opinion	relating to the folk	owing items:			
3.	l		Basis of the opinion Priority		-	entive sten a	and industrial applic	ahility
3.	1		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment o	of opinion with rega	-	entive step a	and industrial applic	ability
3.	I II III		Basis of the opinion Priority	of opinion with rega ntion t under Rule 66.2(	ard to novelty, inve			·
3.	I II III IV		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen	of opinion with rega ntion t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting s	ard to novelty, inve			·
3.	I II III IV V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement citations and explan	of opinion with regantion t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting s	ard to novelty, inve a)(ii) with regard to such statement			·
3.	I II IV V		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement citations and explan Certain documents of	of opinion with regantion t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting s cited e international app	ard to novelty, inversal (a)(ii) with regard to such statement			·
	        V             		Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents of	of opinion with regantion t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting s cited e international app	ard to novelty, inversely, invers		ventive step or indu	·
Date	        V             	Mission	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statement citations and explan Certain documents of Certain defects in th Certain observations	of opinion with regantion t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting s cited e international app	ard to novelty, inversely, invers	o novelty, in	ventive step or indu	·
Date	IIIIIVVVVIIIVIIII	Mailing	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents of Certain defects in th Certain observations on of the demand	of opinion with regarition t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting soited e international app s on the internation	ard to novelty, inversely, invers	o novelty, in	ventive step or indu	ustrial applicability;
Date	IIIIIVVVVIIIVIIII	Mailing exami	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents of Certain defects in th Certain observations on of the demand	of opinion with regarition t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting soited e international app s on the internation	ard to novelty, inversely, invers	o novelty, in	ventive step or indu	·
Date	IIIIIVVVVIIIVIIII	mission 04	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of Lack of unity of inve Reasoned statemen citations and explan Certain documents of Certain defects in th Certain observations on of the demand	of opinion with regarition t under Rule 66.2( ations supporting soited e international app s on the internation	ard to novelty, inversely, invers	o novelty, in mpletion of the OO5	ventive step or indu	ustrial applicability;

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/06147

I. Basis	of the	report
----------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages								
	1-1	4	as originally filed						
	CI.	ima Nombou							
	Cla	ims, Numbers							
	1-7		as originally filed						
2.	Wit lan	With regard to the <b>language</b> , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.							
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b							
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).						
3.	With inte	With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.						
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.						
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
		The statement that the listing has been furnit	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.						
4.	. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:								
		the description,	pages:						
		the claims,	Nos.:						
		the drawings,	sheets:						
5.		This report has been been considered to g	established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).						
		(Any replacement sh report.)	neet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this						
6.	Add	itional observations, i	f necessary:						

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No.

PCT/IB 03/06147

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims Claims

No:

1-7

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-7

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-7

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

#### SECTION V

- 1). Relevant prior art is represented by:
  - D1: EP-A-0 347 685 (BAYER AG) 27 December 1989 (1989-12-27)
  - D2: GB-A-2 346 150 (CIBA SC HOLDING AG) 2 August 2000 (2000-08-02)
  - D3: DE 43 04 744 A (BASF AG) 18 August 1994 (1994-08-18)
  - D4: EP-A-0 678 560 (NIPPON KAYAKU KK) 25 October 1995 (1995-10-25)
  - D5: WO 00/43454 A (BUCKLEY ALAN JOHN ; WHITHAM CHRISTOPHER (GB): YORKSHIRE CHEMICALS P) 27 July 2000 (2000-07-27)
- The claimed matter is novel vis-à-vis D2 mainly because of the nature of the groups R<sub>10</sub> and R<sub>11</sub> attached to the nitrogen atom of the compounds of formula (2). This also applies for D3.

Novelty is also acknowledged vis-à-vis D4 and D1, due to the presence of a amido group in the formula of the compound of type (1) in these documents; R4 cannot be an amido group for the claimed compounds.

The currently claimed mixture is a selection over D5 (see claims 11 and 8; compounds (VI) and (VII)). However, this selection is regarded as novel vis-à-vis D5, since D5 does not mention explicitly the mixture of (VI) and (VII).

3). D5 relates also to dye mixtures used to treat polyester fibres and represents therefore the closest prior art.

Hence, the provision of an alternative dye mixture starting from D5 is obvious for the skilled person, since he knows from the teaching of this document, that such a mixture can dye polyester fibres.

The problem underlying the current application appears to be the provision of further dye mixtures having an unexpected effect over the prior art.

In view of the content of the description, this problem has not been solved.

If it is intended to submit comparative tests in response to this communication, the comparative mixture should be the one of D5 containing the dyes (VI) and (VII).

Moreover, if an unexpected effect can be demonstrated, then it should be made credible on the whole claimed scope. In other words, the applicant is asked to explain

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SERAR



International application No. PCT/IB 03/06147

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

for which reasons, the skilled person would expect that the unexpected effect is credibly present in view of the different claimed variations.

4). There is no objection with regard to industrial applicability.